



Statement for Faculty opponent, Examining committee members and Deputy member

This is a document for the suggested faculty opponent, examining committee members and deputy member to fill out. It highlights the most relevant points of the CV. It also asks for information about potential partiality (conflict of interest) as explained in the attachment.

Name

PhD awarded (year)

Current affiliation

Current academic/job title

Starting year

If applicable, year the title of Docent
(or international equivalent, like
German Habilitation) was awarded

Experience of PhD supervision (numbers only):

As main supervisor

As co-supervisor

Finished

Current

Link to publication record (e.g. Google Scholar, ORCID, Web of Science)

I have read the attached information about potential partiality (conflict of interest) and confirm that I do not have any partiality.

Date

.....
Signature

Partiality/Conflict of interest (COI) (in Swedish jäv)

An absolute requirement for public defences is that there is to be no partiality or conflict of interest between supervisors/author of the thesis and faculty opponent, examining committee members and deputy member.

Examples include:

- Close cooperation in a professional capacity.
 - Awarded common funding for projects concluded in the last five years.
 - A recent unsuccessful application, or a planned/submitted proposal.
- Common publications.
 - at least one co-authored publication in the last five years (single position papers are excluded).
 - several co-authored publications in the last six to ten years.
- Clear friendship or antagonism.
- Relationship of dependency of a financial nature.
- Manager/employee relationship.
- Previous supervisor/PhD student relationship.
- Expert statement in recent years (<3-5 years: e.g.regarding associate professor qualifications or appointment/promotion) written by any the supervisors.
- Engagement in the PhD project to such an extent that it could be suspected that it may affect an impartial assessment.